































Annex 1: Overview of the Strategic KPIs for Reporting Period III – targets against de facto performance

Indicator	Assessment	Instrument	Target value	De facto QM4	De facto QM5	De facto QM 6
Time-to-grant	The time span between call deadlines and the accepted Grant Agreement	Amendment III: PDTI RTD consortia	9 months	 / 		
		Amendment IV: Call II experiment				
Payment discipline	Time span between the submission of a Periodic Report and actual payments	Cost Claim 2 (after second reporting period of ECHORD++)	6 months			
Planning security	Amendments: time span between Amendment session opened in the NEF and signed Amendment	Amendment III: PDTI RTD consortia	6 months between opening of the Amendment Session and signed Amendment request			
		Amendment IV: Call II experiment				
No. SME involved	Number of Small and Medium Sized companies involved in the	Call I experiments: applications	Experiments: 25% of the applicants PDTI: 25 % of the applicants			
		Call I experiments: selected				

	project for all instruments	PDTI RTD consortia: application	RIFs: as outlined in the RIF handbook	
		PDTI RTD consortia: selected		
		Call II experiments: applications		
		Call II experiments: selected		
		RIFs		
No. newcomers without any former experience with EU-funded projects	Number of Small and Medium Sized companies involved in the project for all instruments	Call I experiments: applications	Experiments: 25% of the applicants PDTI: 25 % of the applicants RIFs: number of start-ups engaged	
		Call I experiments: selected		
		PDTI RTD consortia: selected		
		Call II experiments:		
		RIFs (number of start-ups involved)		
Strengthening the collaboration between	Projects in which industrial partners and academic partners work	Call I experiments	Experiments: 90% of the applicants PDTI: 90 % of	
		Call II experiments		












industry and academia	together (during the runtime of E++ and afterwards)	PDTI RTD consortia (Phase I)	the applicants	
		RIFs		Not measurable for the time being
Networking: Motivate new contacts which offer the potential for future collaboration in research projects or business leads	Number of new contacts gained by working on one of the instruments of ECHORD++.	Call I experiments	Experiments: 75% of the experimenting partners gained at least one new contact. PDTI: 75% of the experimenting partners gained at least one new contact. RIFs: as outlined in the RIF handbook	
		Call II experiments		Not measurable, yet. Will be measured together with the final report at the end of the runtime of Call II experiments.
		PDTI RTD consortia		Not measurable yet. Will be measured at the end of Phase II.
		RIFs		Not measurable for the time being.
Contribution to advancing the state-of-the art (technological progress)	The technological / scientific targets are outlined in the proposals	Experiments	Experiments: 80 % of all experiments selected for funding meet the technological targets outlined in their KPI documents.	

		PDTI (Phase I)	PDTI: Two consortia for each scenario reach their targets (even with a different approach) and deliver a prototype at the end of their engagement.	
		RIFs	No. of patents and similar, and number of new products	
Impact achieved by the individual technological instruments of E++	The impact targets are outlined in the KPI documents (experiments, PDTI); impact for RIF takes time to materialize, outcome will be qualified at a later stage., and in RIFs proposals).	Experiments (Call I)	80 % of all experiments selected for funding achieve the impact outlined in their KPI documents	
		Experiments Call II		Not measureable, yet, as they have just started.





















		PDTI RTD consortia	80 % of all experiments selected for funding achieve the impact outlined in their KPI documents	Not measureable, yet, as they have just started.
		RIFs	Jobs safeguarded or created; new patents and products, engagement of target audience	
Performant, strong proposals received	The potential scientific / technological success of E++ heavily depends on the quality of the proposals submitted. They form the pool from which the independent experts can select.	Experiments Call I	Experiments 80% of the KPIs target values achieved.	
		Experiments Call II		
		PDTI RTD consortia		
		RIFs		Not applicable for RIFs

Overview of the Dissemination & outreach KPIs for Reporting Period III – targets against de facto performance




Indicator	Assessment	Target values	De-facto M22-M27	De-Facto M28-M33	De Facto M34-M39
Online-communication	Clicks website	1000 per month	●	●	●
	YouTube channel	Average of more than 500 views per video	●	●	●
	LinkedIn Group	More than 250 members	●	●	●
Media coverage	References in trade press	50 per year	●	●	●
	References in consumer press	10 per year	●	●	●
Event audience	Estimated number of people from target audience reached at the various events	1000 per year	●	UPC to deliver	UPC to deliver
Direct contacts	Direct contacts in contact database	More than 4.000 active contacts at the end of E++	●	●	●
		More than 70 % new contacts (without login from old ECHORD)	●	●	●
Scientific publications	Number of scientific publications	At least one per experiment	●	●	●
Customer satisfaction	Specific questions on communication/dissemination in customer satisfaction surveys	Rating of at least good to excellent	De-facto M22-M27	De-Facto M28-M33	De Facto M34-M39
	Overall content of E++ monitoring platform		● / ●	●	●













	Overall usability of the E++ monitoring platform		 / 		
	Questions answered within two business days		 / 		
	Did the E++ team give competent answers to your questions?		 / 		
	Was the E++ team capable of solving your problems?		 / 		
	Was the session on public relations at the Kick off helpful for your PR efforts		n.a.	n.a.	
	Were the PR references and the PR handbook helpful for you PR efforts?				
	Do you think that the new website echord.eu addresses a broader public with its new design (re-launch in January 2016)?				
	Does the ECHORD++ website echord.eu addresses the Experiment Partners' needs?				
	How would you rate the ECHORD++ YouTube Channel?				
	How would you rate the general assistance via the E++ team during your application?		 / 		

Overview of the experiment Call I KPIs for Reporting Period III – targets against de facto performance

Assesment	3DSSC	CoHRoS	DEBURR	DEXBUDDY
Tech. KPIs				
Imp. KPIs				
Deliverables				
Milestones				
Dissemination				

Assesment	EXOTRAINER	2F	GAROTICS	LA-ROSES
Tech. KPIs				
Imp. KPIs				
Deliverables				
Milestones				
Dissemination				

Assesment	LINARM++	MODUL	MOTORE++	PICKIT
Tech. KPIs				
Imp. KPIs				
Deliverables				
Milestones				
Dissemination				

Assesment	SAPARO	TIREBOT	MARS	
Tech. KPIs				
Imp. KPIs				
Deliverables				
Milestones				
Dissemination	